JOURNAL OF MODERN SCIENCE

2/56/2024

www.jomswsge.com

DOI: doi.org/10.13166/jms/188731

ŁUKASZ SZKLARSKI

ITTI: Poznan, Poland

ORCID iD: orcid.org/0000-0001-6779-5897

POLAND'S STRATEGIC POTENTIAL AND CAPABILITIES TO RESPOND TO CBRN THREATS

Abstract

The article includes a systemic analysis of Poland's potential and response capabilities to chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear (CBRN) threats. As a result of the conducted research, the dominant threats, technological resources, legal framework and socio-political reactions related to CBRN incidents in Poland were shown. In addition, Poland's technological capabilities in the detection of chemical agents, gamma and nucleoids were presented. The important role of protective clothing in responding to CBRN threats was emphasized. An analysis of the legal framework underpinning detection and response to CBRN threats has been carried out. In addition, a comprehensive analysis of international cooperation and the role of Poland in supporting joint initiatives focused on counteracting CBRN threats was presented. A detailed analysis of the Polish preparedness strategy in the event of potential chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear incidents was helpful in drawing conclusions. The article highlights the multifaceted nature of the Polish approach, which includes understanding CBRN threats, investing in advanced detection technologies, ensuring the security of response through protective equipment, implementing robust civil protection measures, and adhering to a comprehensive legal framework. The discussion additionally sheds light on the key role of international cooperation in the Polish CBRN strategy. Basically, the article presents Polish preparations as a comprehensive approach combining technological knowledge, legal infrastructure, public security measures and international cooperation, with the overriding goal of effectively counteracting CBRN threats. In addition, it sheds light on the possibilities of Poland's response to CBRN threats, including showing the directions of future research projects.

Keywords: *Poland, CBRN incidents, terrorism, threat detection, protective equipment, civil protection, legal framework, international cooperation.*

1. Introduction

CBRN threats are inherently unpredictable, can cause severe damage and loss, and mitigating them requires a multifaceted and strategic response. Poland, being a diligent international actor, recognizes these potential threats and is proactive in developing and implementing a comprehensive CBRN preparedness strategy. This strategy underscores Poland's commitment to protecting its citizens from potential CBRN incidents, demonstrating the government's responsive and forward-looking approach to national security. The preparedness

strategy is not an isolated effort but an integral part of the national security architecture, interlinked with other aspects of defense, health and emergency response. Poland's CBRN preparedness strategy is characterized by a combination of policy formulation, technology deployment, skills development and international cooperation. At the political level, the strategy is based on a framework of legal and administrative measures that guide the country's response to potential CBRN incidents. The active role of state institutions, research organizations and the military in developing and enforcing these policies is an example of a synergistic approach to national security.

Technological adoption is another key aspect of Poland's preparedness for CBRN threats. It includes the development and acquisition of state-of-the-art equipment to detect and protect against these threats, combined with a commitment to continuous research and development in this area. The successful implementation of projects with the participation of Polish entities is a proof of commitment to using technological progress to increase CBRN readiness.

It is important to underline that this strategy emphasizes capacity building and skills development, recognizing that technology and policies are only as effective as the people who implement them. Training programs and simulations for first responders, healthcare professionals and military personnel are a key part of a CBRN preparedness strategy, equipping them with the skills they need to respond efficiently and effectively to potential threats.

Finally, Poland recognizes the importance of international cooperation in dealing with CBRN threats, given their transnational nature. Her active participation in international research projects and cooperation with partners from the European Union reflect her commitment to collective security.

The article attempts an in-depth study of the Polish CBRN preparedness strategy, critically analyzing each of its aspects. The adopted research process showed a broader context, recognizing the complexity of CBRN threats and emphasizing the importance of an integrated, multi-stakeholder approach in addressing the challenges of identified CBRN issues.

2. Materials and Methods

The purpose of the study was to gain knowledge about Poland's potential, its readiness and ability to respond to CBRN threats. However, the main research problem giving rise to the selection of methods to solve it was presented in the form of a question: *How has Poland prepared strategically for potential chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear (CBRN) incidents?* Not without significance for the study was the formulation of specific problems:

1. How does Poland interpret the complex and evolving nature of CBRN threats?
2. What is the scale and nature of terrorist threats related to CBRN materials in Poland?
3. How has Poland prepared for man-made CBRN incidents and what are the potential sources and implications of such threats?
4. How effectively can Poland's chemical detection technologies identify different chemicals used in CBRN threats?
5. What gamma and nucleoid detection technologies are currently used in Poland and how effective are they?
6. What methodologies and technologies has Poland adopted to detect biological agents in the CBRN scenario?
7. What type of protective equipment is available to responders dealing with CBRN incidents in Poland and how effective is it?
8. How well is the Polish population prepared for CBRN threats and what measures are used to protect it?
9. What civil protection mechanisms are in place in Poland in the context of CBRN incidents and what are the inputs of the different stakeholders?
10. What is the legal framework governing Poland's response to CBRN incidents and how does it align with international legislation and treaties?

The research focused on two key elements. The first is the author's experience in the field of security, with particular emphasis on chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear (CBRN) defence. This experience, enriched with insights gathered over years of research projects in the field of CBRN for the European Union and the European Defense Agency (EDA), brings a practical

understanding of the subject. The author coordinated several research projects for the European Commission, primarily EU-RADION (https://eu-radion.eu/) i EU-SENSE (https://eu-sense.eu/), contributing to a knowledge base on chemical hazards and countermeasures. In parallel with the author's practical experience, an exhaustive review of the scientific literature was carried out, selecting scientific works by leading authors and institutions specializing in chemical hazards and protection. This scientific review allows for the incorporation of the latest and relevant theoretical findings and perspectives, embedding the article in current academic discourse.

In order to implement the adopted research assumptions, the method of analysis was used consisting in quantitative and qualitative analysis of the content contained in the analyzed documents, literature, legal acts, their ordering and interpretation in terms of the research objective.

3. Research results

3.1. Understanding CBRN threats

Chemical, Biological, Radiological and Nuclear (CBRN) threats pose a serious threat to national and international security. This chapter undertakes a detailed exploration of these threats, focusing on their scientific nature, implications and related threats, with particular emphasis on the potential impact on Poland's national security.

Chemical threats result from the deliberate use of toxic substances in order to harm or incapacitate individuals, disrupt social life or destroy the environment [1]. These substances can range from toxic industrial chemicals to specially designed chemical warfare agents [2]. The potential impacts of such hazards are immediate and serious, ranging from acute health problems to long-term environmental damage. Given the industrial base and population density in some regions of Poland, chemical threats can have devastating effects, disrupting not only public health but also the country's economic stability.

Biological hazards involve the use of pathogens — such as viruses, bacteria, or toxins — to cause disease outbreaks or epidemics [3]. The global COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the potential damage that biohazards can cause [4].

For Poland, due to its geographical location and population density, biohazards pose a serious risk that can overburden healthcare resources and cause major socio-economic disruption.

Radiological threats consist in the use of radioactive materials that can be dispersed with conventional explosives (dirty bombs) or otherwise [5]. Exposure to high levels of radiation can lead to immediate health effects and long-term environmental contamination. Although less immediate than chemical or biological threats, the potential psychological and long-term effects of radiological threats make them a significant concern for Poland.

Nuclear risks include the use of nuclear weapons or exposure to large-scale nuclear power failures. These threats have the greatest destructive potential of all CBRN threats, resulting in immediate mass casualties and long-term effects on the environment [6]. Due to Poland's proximity to countries with nuclear potential or nuclear power plants, nuclear threats are a key issue for national security.

To sum up, the diverse nature of CBRN threats, their various effects and the associated threats make them a significant problem for Poland's national security. A thorough understanding of these threats is critical to the development of effective preparedness and response mechanisms, which will be discussed in the following sections.

3.2. Terrorism and CBRN threats

In recent years, the risk of chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear (CBRN) threats being used by non-state actors as a means to achieve destructive goals has significantly increased [7]. This section delves into the historical context of CBRN use by non-state actors, assesses the potential for such use in the future, and identifies vulnerabilities in Poland's national security framework that can be exploited.

The use of CBRN assets by non-state actors is not a new phenomenon. Past examples show this grim reality. It is worth noting that the sarin gas attack by the Aum Shinrikyo sect in the Tokyo subway in 1995 shocked the world with its audacity and sophistication, showing that even non-state actors can use chemical weapons [8]. Another example, the anthrax letters in the United States in 2001, showed the potential of biological agents as a means of terror

[9]. These events highlight the potential of threats and require an assessment of Poland's preparation for these threats.

When considering the particular threat to Poland, one should take into account the country's geopolitical location, which borders seven countries and serves as a gateway between Western and Eastern Europe [10]. This strategic location, while beneficial in many respects, can also make it a potential target for non-state actors seeking to destabilize the region. In addition, Poland's solid industrial base, including the chemical and nuclear industries, can potentially be both targets and resources for terrorist activity [11][12][13].

It is also important to consider technological advances that have made it easier for non-state actors to access information related to CBRN agents and their use [19]. Dark web platforms, lax regulation in some regions, and the globalization of scientific research have contributed to the potential proliferation of CBRN materials.

Poland's vulnerability to such threats may result from border controls, the readiness of emergency services, the capacity of the healthcare system, public awareness of CBRN threats and cybersecurity of critical infrastructure. CBRN agent detection, medical preparedness and response, decontamination processes and protective measures are key elements that require careful scrutiny.

In conclusion, attention was drawn to the real and present danger of CBRN threats, especially from non-state actors, and the weak points in the existing security framework of Poland were highlighted. These observations provide a critical basis for the following sections, which aim to assess Poland's readiness and suggest potential improvements.

3.3. Man-made CBRN threats

Although the specter of CBRN terrorism is high, it should be noted that man-made threats can also arise from non-malicious sources, such as industrial accidents, and carry equally significant potential for devastating effects [22]. In this chapter, we will explore the nature of these threats, look at historical cases, and assess the implications for Poland's national security.

Man-made CBRN threats typically arise from the storage, transportation and use of hazardous materials, particularly in the industrial and energy sectors. For example, chemical hazards often result from mishandling or

accidental release of toxic industrial chemicals [23]. A well-known example is the Bhopal disaster in India, where a methyl isocyanate gas leak from an industrial plant led to thousands of deaths and long-term health problems [24]. Such an incident highlights the potential catastrophic consequences of industrial accidents involving toxic chemicals.

Similarly, the nuclear energy sector carries inherent risks. Nuclear power plant accidents such as the Chernobyl disaster in 1986 and the Fukushima Daiichi disaster in 2011 have demonstrated the potential for widespread environmental pollution and long-term health effects [25]. Considering the use of nuclear energy by Poland, the assessment and improvement of the applied security measures is a critical aspect of national security [26].

Radiological hazards can also come from industrial sources where radioactive materials are used for various purposes such as treatment, research and energy production. Accidents or improper handling can lead to the release of these materials, causing contamination and potential health hazards [27]. In this context, it is crucial to consider the strong Polish industrial sector, which includes industries that commonly use such materials.

Finally, biological hazards can be unintentionally released through mishaps in research laboratories or through the misuse of biotechnology [28]. The 1979 Sverdlovsk anthrax spill in the Soviet Union serves as a sobering reminder of the risks involved in handling dangerous pathogens [29].

In the context of Poland, understanding these risks and historical cases where they have occurred can help develop robust risk management strategies. Industrial security protocols, emergency response plans, public awareness and regulatory oversight play a key role in mitigating the risk of man-made CBRN incidents and ensuring national security.

In conclusion, a comprehensive review of man-made CBRN threats was made, emphasizing the need for vigilance and preparedness beyond the traditionally considered sphere of terrorist attacks. The above considerations prepare the ground for a critical analysis of the means of reducing such threats existing in Poland, which will be discussed in the following subsections.

3.4. Detection of chemical agents

Quick and accurate detection of chemical agents is critical to successfully mitigating the effects of a chemical attack or accident. While many chemical detection technologies have been developed, this chapter focuses on the flame photometric detector with ion mobility spectrometry (IMS, FPD) along with other important technologies, assessing their role in enhancing Poland's national security by improving chemical preparedness.

IMS, FPD has been hailed as a robust technology for the detection of chemical warfare agents and toxic industrial chemicals [30]. It combines the advantages of ion mobility spectrometry (IMS), known for its sensitivity and rapid response, with the selectivity of photometric flame detection (FPD), enabling the identification of a wide range of chemical hazards [31]. Such a feature is particularly important considering the diversity of industrial chemicals used in the thriving Polish industrial sector.

Other important detection technologies include infrared spectroscopy and gas chromatography. Infrared spectroscopy is effective in identifying chemical substances based on their unique infrared absorption spectra, while gas chromatography separates the individual components of a chemical mixture, allowing for more accurate identification [32]. These technologies have proven themselves in various incidents around the world and could potentially serve as key tools in Poland's chemical threat detection arsenal.

The choice of detection technology depends to a large extent on the nature of the threat, the expected chemical agents and the specific circumstances of their potential release. It is critical that Poland's preparedness strategy takes into account these factors, using a combination of detection technologies to address a wide range of potential chemical threats.

In addition, effective chemical detection is not solely dependent on technology; it also depends on the availability of well-trained personnel who can correctly interpret the data [33]. In addition, an effective strategy for responding to the information provided by these sensing technologies is required to ensure that protective measures, decontamination processes and medical interventions are initiated quickly.

In conclusion, this section discusses the role of IMS FPD, together with other chemical detection technologies, in enhancing Poland's chemical

preparedness. The importance of a multi-faceted approach, including a variety of technologies and trained personnel, and an effective response strategy under the overall Polish CBRN preparedness framework was underlined.

3.5. Gamma and Nucleoid Detection Technologies

The threat of radiological incidents, whether because of intentional malicious acts, accidents at nuclear facilities or even natural disasters, is an area of growing concern around the world. In particular, the rapid and precise detection of gamma radiation and specific nucleoids is critical to enable a proactive response to mitigate impacts on human health, the environment and national security. This chapter discusses in detail the various available gamma and nucleoid detection technologies, emphasizing their role in enhancing Poland's radiological preparedness.

Gamma radiation, due to its deeply penetrating nature and the possibility of causing harmful health effects, requires an immediate and accurate detection system [34]. There are many gamma detection technologies available, each with their own advantages and limitations. One such technology is the Gamma spectrometer. These devices use a scintillation detector or a semiconductor detector, providing both quantitative and qualitative analysis of isotopes emitting gamma radiation [35]. Their sensitivity and wide detection range make them a valuable tool for the initial detection and measurement of gamma radiation levels.

At the same time, portable systems in the field, such as handheld radioisotope identifiers, are gaining in importance. These instruments combine gamma spectroscopy with advanced identification algorithms to identify specific isotopes on site, thus providing valuable information on the nature of the radioactive material [35].

On the other hand, nucleoid-specific detection, crucial for differentiating between different types of radiological hazards, can be achieved with technologies such as high purity germanium detectors. These detectors are distinguished by the high resolution of gamma spectroscopy, which allows for precise identification of isotopes, necessary to determine the appropriate reaction measures [36].

The continuous development of technology has also resulted in the introduction of mobile detection platforms such as Aerial Measurement Systems (AMS). AMS uses aircraft-mounted detectors to conduct large-scale surveys of potentially contaminated areas, providing a quick assessment of the spread and concentration of radioactive contamination [37]. Such technology has proven its worth in the aftermath of the Fukushima disaster, enabling efficient mapping of radioactive dispersion. Such tools could greatly enhance Poland's ability to quickly assess and respond to large-scale radiological events.

The importance of Poland's robust gamma and nucleoid detection capabilities is further underlined when the historical context of the Chernobyl accident is taken into account. The 1986 accident led to the dispersion of radioactive materials across Europe, with Poland being significantly affected due to its geographical proximity [38]. This event highlighted the need for rapid and accurate detection and identification of radioactive materials for both immediate response and long-term monitoring of affected areas.

Importantly, while these technologies offer significant benefits, their effectiveness depends on several factors beyond the technology itself. Interpretation of detector readings requires a high level of expertise, emphasizing the need for well-trained personnel. Established protocols for the implementation of these technologies and follow-up actions are essential to ensure a swift response. In addition, social awareness and education in the field of radiological hazards and appropriate safety measures can significantly contribute to minimizing the risk and consequences of such events [39].

In conclusion, the subchapter contains a comprehensive analysis of the available gamma and nucleoid detection technologies, taking into account their potential application in the Polish context. It highlights the importance of a multifaceted approach to radiological threat detection and management, combining state-of-the-art technology, skilled personnel, well-established protocols and public awareness.

3.6. Technologies for detecting biological agents

Biological agents present a unique and complex challenge in the field of CBRN threats. Unlike their chemical and radiological counterparts, biological threats can spread across time and space, often with delayed onset of symptoms,

requiring a different set of detection and response strategies. This chapter discusses the technologies available for detecting biological agents, highlights the inherent limitations, and highlights the potential for future innovation within Poland's national security framework.

Detection of a biological agent often involves a two-step process: initial identification (often called *detection*) followed by confirmatory identification. Putative identification technologies such as manual testing (HHA) provide a quick, first-line response to potential biohazards. These technologies can detect the presence of a selected group of biological agents within minutes, providing valuable time to initiate response actions [40]. However, they offer only limited identification capability and are prone to false positives and negatives, requiring confirmatory testing [41].

Confirmatory identification of biological agents traditionally requires laboratory tests, such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR) tests, which provide a high degree of specificity and sensitivity [42]. Unfortunately, the need for well-equipped laboratories and highly trained personnel, coupled with the time-consuming nature of these tests, presents a major challenge in rapidly evolving biohazard scenarios.

The COVID-19 pandemic has demonstrated the critical importance and challenges of biosensing. The initial phase of the pandemic was characterized by the lack of rapid and reliable diagnostic tests, which led to a delayed response and rapid spread of the virus [43]. This highlights the need for Poland to invest in technologies and infrastructure for the rapid detection of biological agents to protect public health and national security.

Sampling techniques also play a key role in the detection of biological agents. In environmental sampling, technologies such as air samplers can collect biological particles from the atmosphere, providing information about potential biological hazards in the environment [44]. Similarly, swabs and other collection techniques are used to collect samples of surfaces and biological fluids. However, these methods often require subsequent laboratory analysis, again highlighting the need for easily accessible and equipped laboratories.

The future use of mobile labs offers a promising avenue to address some of these challenges. Equipped with state-of-the-art equipment and trained staff, these laboratories can perform rapid on-site confirmatory testing, significantly

reducing the time between sample collection and result release [45]. They can also be deployed in areas with limited laboratory infrastructure, increasing overall biohazard response capability.

In conclusion, this section presents the current state of technology for detecting biological agents and the challenges associated with them. The need for a comprehensive approach that includes rapid pre-identification technologies, robust laboratory services, qualified staff and public awareness was highlighted. The COVID-19 pandemic is a strong reminder of the importance of this issue, emphasizing the need for Poland to continue investing in this area to protect the population and national security.

3.7. CBRN response protective equipment

Keeping first responders safe in the face of CBRN incidents is a non-negotiable priority. Protective equipment is a key element of this regulation, especially considering the implications for hazmat suits. These suits are a key piece of personal protective equipment (PPE) and their importance cannot be underestimated. In this chapter extension, we continue to explore the intricacies of protective suits, evaluating their advantages and limitations, and their role in national security by protecting first responders, who are the first line of defense in managing CBRN threats.

Hazmat suits are designed to provide varying degrees of protection against different types of threats, making them versatile in a variety of CBRN incident scenarios. They differ in design and material, each of which has unique protective and physical properties [46]. The materials of a protective suit play a key role in providing protection and durability while providing comfort and mobility to the wearer. Materials used in these suits include rubbers such as neoprene and butyl, laminates and advanced fabrics such as Gore-Tex®. Each material offers specific advantages in terms of chemical resistance, fire resistance, mechanical strength and flexibility. However, they also come with trade-offs. For example, rubber materials provide excellent chemical resistance but poor breathability, while advanced textile materials offer better comfort and mobility but may be less resistant to certain chemicals [47]. The design of the protective suits is equally important. Coveralls should offer the right balance of protection, functionality and comfort to be effective. The design

of these suits includes several critical features such as gas-tight zippers, double-cuffed glove systems, integrated boot systems, anti-fog face shields and built-in communication systems. These features are intended to enhance user protection, visibility, communication capabilities and overall efficiency during CBRN response operations [48]. The maintenance and service life of protective suits are other important considerations. These suits are often expensive, making durability and maintenance critical. Practices such as proper cleaning, inspection, repair and storage can extend the life of these suits and keep them ready for use when needed. Nevertheless, it is necessary to replace suits when they reach the limit of their service life or when they show signs of significant wear or damage [49].

In addition, the testing and certification of protective suits is critical to ensure that they meet the required safety standards. This includes both manufacturer testing and independent testing by recognized organizations. In Europe, protective suits must meet the EN 943 standard, which defines the requirements for gas-tight chemical protection suits and non-gas-tight chemical protection suits [50].

Finally, the use of protective suits in the field goes beyond the physical suit. Supportive systems such as cooling and heating systems may be required to maintain the comfort and efficiency of first responders, especially during prolonged operations. Such systems can reduce heat stress and the risk of heat-related illness, which are common problems when wearing fully encapsulated suits [55].

In conclusion, the protective capability, design, material, maintenance, testing, certification and support systems of protective suits are critical factors in ensuring the safety of first responders during CBRN incidents. Hazmat suits play a significant role in the overall preparedness and response strategy of each country, including Poland, and directly affect the effectiveness of national security measures.

3.8. Civil protection

Protecting the population from chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear (CBRN) threats involves a complex game of strategies, all of which aim to protect human life, social structures and the functionality of critical infrastructure. This requires a careful analysis of the threats, their potential social effects and an assessment of the effectiveness of the implemented protective measures. This chapter delves into these aspects in the Polish context, exploring how different strategies can contribute to strengthening national security, building social resilience, and minimizing potential disruptions to the country's socio-economic fabric.

The initial and most important layer of defense in civil protection is the early detection of CBRN threats. Quick identification of threats not only enables early warning, but also promotes rapid initiation of response actions [56]. Poland, like many European countries, has invested in creating an extensive network of monitoring stations equipped with the latest detection technologies [57]. Such infrastructures can quickly identify a range of CBRN threats, supporting the critical aspect of the time factor in crisis responses. However, their effectiveness depends on regular maintenance, timely calibrations and updates to increase accuracy, reliability and responsiveness [58]. It should be noted that the performance of these systems is also highly dependent on interoperability, data sharing,

Warning systems serve as another protective measure once threats are detected. They facilitate quick communication of the population about impending threats and can significantly affect the pace and effectiveness of protective measures [60]. Poland, in accordance with the best global practices, uses a combination of traditional and modern communication platforms for this purpose. These range from sirens and public address systems to more technologically advanced channels such as mobile notifications and social media notifications [61]. The impact of these systems lies in their reach, credibility, transparency and public understanding. Therefore, Poland must constantly ensure that warnings are concise, consistent and understandable across all communication platforms.

Evacuation strategies are an integral part of civil protection, especially in situations where CBRN threats can cause widespread damage to human health

and the environment. Effective evacuations largely depend on well-planned strategies and efficient implementation [62]. In Poland, responsibility for the development and implementation of evacuation plans rests with municipal and regional authorities, albeit under the direction of national agencies. These plans are complex and require careful consideration of aspects such as transport routes, shelter facilities and safeguards for vulnerable groups. Regular drills and drills are essential to refine these plans, improve inter-agency coordination, and familiarize the public with evacuation procedures [63].

Decontamination measures are a key aspect of CBRN response strategies that aim to remove or neutralize hazardous substances, thereby reducing the risk of contamination and exposure [64]. Poland, like other nations, has developed individual and environmental decontamination protocols [65]. Nevertheless, the effectiveness of these procedures is largely dependent on various factors, such as the type and degree of contamination, the availability and effectiveness of decontamination agents and equipment, and the timeliness and safety of the decontamination process [66].

Finally, the role of education and awareness-raising initiatives cannot be overlooked in the broader civil protection agenda. Informed populations are better equipped to respond to CBRN threats, thereby increasing societal resilience [67]. In this context, Poland has included CBRN education in the national curriculum and regularly conducts awareness-raising campaigns. Such measures empower individuals by fostering a culture of preparedness at the community level.

In conclusion, ensuring the protection of the population against CBRN threats requires a comprehensive approach that seamlessly integrates various protective measures, from detection and warning to evacuation, decontamination and education. By adopting and constantly improving these strategies, Poland can strengthen its national security framework, protect its population and reduce the societal impact of CBRN threats.

3.9. Civil protection aspects

Civil protection is a key pillar of national security, providing robust and coordinated responses to mitigate the impact of incidents that may disrupt social functions, including chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear (CBRN) threats. This chapter discusses the mechanisms used by Poland in the field of civil protection in the context of CBRN incidents, their effectiveness and the roles and contributions of various stakeholders.

In Poland, civil protection is a multi-level system coordinated by the Government Center for Security (RCB), which is responsible for managing and coordinating responses to crisis situations in the country, including those related to CBRN threats [68]. RCB plays an integral role in the management of the National Rescue and Civil Protection System, which is a collection of several organizations and entities from all over Poland whose task is to manage various aspects of crisis response [69]. The system is to ensure a quick and effective response to various crisis situations, including CBRN incidents.

At the national level, countless ministries and agencies play a key role in civil protection. For example, the Ministry of Interior and Administration is primarily responsible for coordinating civil protection activities, while the Ministry of Health plays an important role in managing biohazards and providing emergency medical assistance [70]. Similarly, the Ministry of the Environment is tasked with managing and mitigating environmental risks related to CBRN incidents.

At the regional level, an important role in coordinating local responses to CBRN incidents is played by voivodship (province) crisis management centers [71]. Local governments and fire departments are usually the first to respond to emergencies and are equipped to deal with all kinds of threats, including CBRN incidents.

The Polish civil protection system also recognizes the importance of the involvement of non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and volunteers in crisis management. Numerous non-governmental organizations provide specialized services in crisis situations, including first aid, evacuation support, and psychosocial support [72]. In addition, voluntary organizations such as Volunteer Fire Brigades participate in local emergency responses and are an essential part of the civil protection system [73].

Overall, Poland's civil protection mechanisms are multifaceted and involve various stakeholders. By constantly improving these mechanisms and supporting coordination and cooperation between various entities, Poland can effectively manage CBRN incidents and strengthen its national security.

3.10. Legal framework

The legal framework for managing and responding to Chemical, Biological, Radiological and Nuclear (CBRN) incidents is an essential element of national security. This chapter presents an analysis of the Polish legislative and regulatory landscape regarding CBRN threats, covering both national and international regulations.

The national legal framework for CBRN governance in Poland is complex, reflecting the complexity of the threat landscape. The most important of these is the Crisis Management Act of 2007, which defines the country's approach to dealing with crisis situations, including CBRN incidents. The Act defines the tasks of the Government Center for Security and assigns a key role to the Minister of the Interior and Administration in crisis situations [74]

In the context of Polish Police operations, particularly during crisis situations, there is a structured approach to coordinating efforts across different ministries and services. The police force operates under a comprehensive command system designed to manage diverse types of emergencies, including natural disasters and technical failures. This system emphasizes the integration of various governmental and non-governmental entities to ensure effective response and resource allocation.

During crisis interventions, the Police command system is crucial for organizing road traffic, maintaining public order, and protecting properties and lives. It involves evaluating threats, determining operational objectives, and coordinating the execution of tasks among different stakeholders. For instance, in situations requiring the simultaneous deployment of forces and resources from different sectors, the Police coordinate with entities such as the National Fire Service, Municipal Police, Military Police, and even international bodies when necessary

This structured response is enabled through legislative backing, primarily by the Act of 6 April 1990 on the Police, which sets out the statutory tasks

of the Police. The Act mandates cooperation with other national and international agencies to uphold security and public order, demonstrating a legal framework that supports comprehensive inter-agency cooperation during emergencies [75]

The operational efficiency of this system is further reinforced by continuous risk assessments and the strategic positioning of command posts, which facilitate rapid and effective communication and logistical support among various agencies involved in crisis management.

At a more detailed level, there are several pieces of legislation that address specific CBRN threats. For example, the Atomic Law of 2003, amended in 2014, sets out guidelines for the management of radiological risks and regulates the safe use of radioactive substances [76]. The Genetically Modified Organisms Act of 2001, amended in 2008, addresses the potential biological risks posed by genetically modified organisms [77].

In addition to the national level, Poland has committed itself to concluding several international agreements on managing CBRN threats. This includes the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT) and the Chemical Weapons Convention (CWC), which Poland ratified in 1990 and 1993, respectively [78]. Both of these international treaties set the direction of Poland's strategy and response to radiological and chemical threats. Similarly, the Biological Weapons Convention (BWC), ratified by Poland in 1972, shapes the nation's approach to biological threats [79].

Additionally, the Convention on the Physical Protection of Nuclear Material (CPPNM), to which Poland is a party, plays a significant role in shaping national strategies for securing nuclear materials and combating nuclear terrorism. Moreover, conventions from the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) provide a collaborative framework for cooperation and assistance during nuclear or radiological incidents. [80][81]. However, while these international commitments are robust, the national legal underpinnings, particularly in terms of comprehensive civil protection laws, remain inadequate. For example, there is no specific Polish law dedicated solely to this purpose. The recent enactment of the Homeland Defence Act further complicates the landscape by eliminating some former national bases for

civil defence tasks, highlighting significant legislative gaps in cohesive CBRN threat response and management .

In conclusion, while the Polish legal framework for CBRN threat mitigation is extensive, covering a range of regulatory and international commitments, it falls short of being truly comprehensive. The framework's efficacy is undermined by the absence of critical legislation specific to civil protection and a disjointed approach to civil defence under current laws. This analysis suggests that the legal structure could benefit substantially from reforms that close these gaps and enhance inter-agency cooperation, especially in scenarios requiring a unified response from multiple national bodies. Such improvements are crucial for maintaining the framework's relevance and effectiveness in light of evolving global CBRN threats..

4. Conclusions

The scientific discussion on the discussed aspects of CBRN threats led to the following conclusions:

1. The diverse nature of CBRN threats, their various effects and the associated threats make them a significant problem for Poland's national security. A thorough understanding of these threats is critical to the development of effective preparedness and response mechanisms, which will be discussed in the following chapters.
2. The dangers resulting from CBRN threats, especially from non-state actors, are real.
3. There is a need for vigilance and preparedness beyond the traditionally considered sphere of terrorist attacks.
4. Threat detection technologies and trained personnel provide a multifaceted approach to responding to CBRN threats.
5. The protective capability, design, material, maintenance, testing, certification and support systems of protective suits are critical factors in ensuring the safety of first responders during CBRN incidents.

6. A comprehensive approach that seamlessly integrates various protective measures, from detection and warning to evacuation, decontamination and education, is required to protect the population from CBRN threats.
7. By constantly improving these mechanisms and supporting coordination and cooperation between various entities, Poland can effectively manage CBRN incidents and strengthen its national security.
8. Polish legal framework for CBRN threat mitigation is extensive, covering a range of regulatory and international commitments, it falls short of being truly comprehensive.

5. Summary

The detailed analysis of Poland's preparedness for potential chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear (CBRN) incidents, described in previous chapters, provides countless insights, interpretations and potential directions for future research. The multi-dimensional research framework, including understanding the nature of threats, exploring technological applications and analyzing legal aspects, provides a comprehensive understanding of Poland's strategic approach to managing CBRN threats.

From the outset, the study highlights the importance of understanding the complexity and evolving nature of CBRN threats, which underpin Poland's strategic approach. Poland's perspective on CBRN threats, characterized by an understanding of both natural and man-made events, underscores the country's comprehensive approach. It also underscores the understanding that threats are multi-faceted and include not only the malicious use of CBRN materials by terrorists, but also human-induced accidents and disasters.

The key element of Poland's readiness is its technological readiness. The focus on chemical, gamma and nucleoid detection technologies means Poland is investing in advanced scientific methodologies to counter CBRN threats. The extent of the emphasis placed on the development and integration of advanced detection technologies reflects the nation's commitment to protecting the population from such dangerous situations. In addition, a study of the protective equipment available to emergency services explains the measures

Poland has taken to ensure the safety of those on the first line of responding to CBRN incidents.

Another important aspect of the Polish approach is the focus on civil protection, including civil protection measures and legal framework. The review shows the existence of robust civil protection mechanisms and efforts to educate and protect the public against CBRN threats, hovever analysis suggests also that the legal structure could benefit substantially from reforms that close these gaps and enhance inter-agency cooperation, especially in scenarios requiring a unified response from multiple national bodies. Such improvements are crucial for maintaining the framework's relevance and effectiveness in light of evolving global CBRN threats.

The discussion on the legal framework governing CBRN incidents highlights the strong alignment of national law with international law, which demonstrates Poland's commitment to complying with global standards in response to these threats.

In conclusion, the study presents a detailed panorama of Poland's preparedness for potential CBRN incidents, demonstrating a multifaceted, comprehensive approach. It emphasizes the combination of technological efficiency, legal infrastructure, public security measures and international cooperation in the Polish strategy for counteracting CBRN threats. While this research makes a significant contribution to understanding CBRN readiness, it also paves the way for further research to explore each field in greater depth. Moreover, it emphasizes the importance of continuous adaptation and learning in the face of changing threats, reinforcing the need for continuous research, technological advancement and international cooperation in this critical field of global security.

Based on the exploration and analysis of the presented content, an attempt to answer the main research problem can be made. Poland's strategic preparation for CBRN threats is characterized by a synthesis of multi-faceted elements, each of which presents its own unique challenges and opportunities for further development. In legislative terms, Poland has established a framework for a systematic response to CBRN incidents. These rules define the roles and responsibilities of the various stakeholders and ensure a coordinated response. Nevertheless, the ever-evolving nature of CBRN

threats requires continuous refinement of these rules to cover new threats and adapt to changing international standards. From a technological point of view, Poland has shown commitment to developing its CBRN detection and protection capabilities. However, technological progress in this field is a constant pursuit, requiring constant research, development and adoption of cutting-edge technologies. Capacity building is a key element of Poland's strategy, including training programs for personnel involved in responding to CBRN. While significant progress has been made in this regard, the complexity and volatility of CBRN threats requires continuous efforts to improve skills and training protocols. Finally, an inseparable element of the Polish strategy is international cooperation, recognizing the cross-border nature of CBRN threats. This underlines the country's active participation in the international discourse and undertaken research initiatives. However, the geopolitical dynamics surrounding these threats require a vigilant approach to fostering alliances and cooperation.

To sum up, Poland's strategic preparation for potential CBRN incidents is an ongoing process. While significant progress has been made, the constantly evolving nature of CBRN threats requires a continuous commitment to policy improvement, technological innovation, capacity building and international cooperation.

References

Act of Crisis Management. (2007). Journal of Laws 2007, No. 89, item 590.

Act on genetically rejected organisms. (2001). Act of 22 June 2001 on genetically rejected organisms. Journal of Laws of 2001, No. 76, item 811.

Act on the Police. (1990). The Act of 6 April 1990 on the Police.

Amitai, G. (2010). *Decontamination of Chemical and Biological Warfare Agents with One*, 31(15), pp. 4417–4425. Multifunctional Biomaterials.

Atomic Law. (2019). Announcement of the Marshal of the Sejm of the Commonwealth of Poland on the establishment of a consolidated text of the Atomic Law. Journal of Laws, Warsaw, September 20, 2019.

Blatny, J.M., Fykse, E.M., Olsen, J.S., Skogan, G., Aarskaug, T. (2008). *Identifying Environmental Biohazards and Their Challenges*, Published Online: https://www.resrchintl.com/Documents/SASS-2000-Series/Identification-of-biological-threat-agents-Overview-Blatny-08.pdf. Access 22.05.2024.

Carter, H. (2020). *Recommendations to Improve Public Engagement with Pre-Incident Information Material for Initial Response to a Chemical, Biological, Radiological, or Nuclear (CBRN) Incident*, 51. Systematic Review, Elsevier International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2018). *Standard: Occupational exposure to heat and hot environments.* U.S. Government Printing House.

Civil Protection in Crisis Management and Civil Defence. (2017). Inspection results report. Warsaw. NIK.

Col, J.-M. (2007). *Disaster Management: the Role of Local Government*, 57, pp. 114–124. PAR

Coombs, W.T. (2015). *Ongoing Crisis Communication: Planning, Managing, and Responding*. Sage publications.

Danzig, R., Sageman, M., Leighton, T., Hough, L., Yuki, H., Kotani, R., Hosford, Z. (2011). *Aum Shinrikyo: Insight Into How Terrorists Develop Biological and Chemical Weapons*. Center for New American Security.

Eckerman, I. (2005). *The Bhopal Saga: Causes and Consequences of the World's Greatest Industrial Disaster*. Hyderabad, India: Universities Press.

Eitzen, E.M., Jr. (1997). *Use of Biological Weapons*, 437–450, in: Sidell, F.R., Takafuji, E.T. (eds), (1997). *Medical Aspects of Chemical and Biological Warfare*. Office of the Surgeon General at TMM, Borden Institute, Walter Reed Army Medical Ctr.

Ellison, H. (2007). *Handbook of Chemical and Biological Warfare Agents*. CRC Press.

European Commission. (2014). *Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions on a new EU approach to detecting and mitigating CBRN-E threats*, May 2014. Published Online: https://goo.gl/CrQXZe. Access 10.08.2017.

European Council. (2005). *EU Counter Terrorism Strategy*, November 30, 2005, Published Online: https://goo.gl/hCwA3k. Access 22.10.2018.
Ewing, R.G., Atkinson, D.A., Eiceman, G.A. (2001). *A Critical Review of Ion Mobility Spectrometry for the Detection of Explosives and Explosive Compounds*, 54(3), pp. 515–529. Talent.
FEMA. (1996). *All Hazards Emergency Operations Planning Guide*. SLG 101: All Hazards Emergency Operations Planning Guide (9/96)
Ferguson, C.D., Kazi, T., Perera, J. (2003). *Commercial Radioactive Sources: Investigating Safety Risks*. Center for Nonproliferation Studies, Monterey Institute of International Studies.
Ferraro, J.R., Krishman, K. (2012). *Practical Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy: Industrial and Laboratory Chemical Analysis*. Elsevier.
Ganor, B. (2016). *Global Alert: The Rationality of Contemporary Islamic Terrorism and the Challenge to the Liberal Democratic World*. Columbia University Press.
García-León, M. (2022). *Environmental Radioactivity Detection Springer Nature*, Springer.
Goniewicz, K., Osiak, B., Pawłowski, W. (2021). *Bioterrorism Preparedness and Response in Poland: Mitigation Prevention, Surveillance and Planning*, 15(16). Disaster Medicine and Public Health Preparedness.
Government Security Center. (2021). Report on a Threat to National Security The Council of Ministers, by Resolution No. 34/2021 of March 11, 2021, at the beginning (fifth) based on the Report on a threat to national security. Published Online: https://www.gov.pl/web/rcb/raport-o-zagrozeniach-bezpieczenstwa-narodowego. Access 22.05.2024.
Government Security Center. (2021a). National Crisis Management Plan. Update 2021/2022. Published Online: https://www.gov.pl/web/rcb/krajowy-plan-zarzadzania-kryzysowego. Access 22.05.2024.
Guillemin, J. (2005). Bioweapons: *From the Invention of State-Sponsored Programs to Modern Bioterrorism*. Columbia University Press.
IAEA. (1979). Convention on the Physical Protection of Nuclear Material, IAEA INFCIRC/274 & IAEA (2021) Amendment to the Convention on the Physical Protection of Nuclear Material IAEA INFCIRC/274/Rev.1/Mod.1/cor.
IAEA. (2013). Nuclear Safety Action Plan Energy Strategy Reviews, 1(4), pp. 302–306.
International Atomic Energy Agency. (2018). *Radiation Protection of the Population and the Environment IAEA General Safety Guide*.
Jaworowski, Z. (2004). *Chernobyl, Nuclear Waste and Nature*, 15(5), pp. 807–824. Energy and Environment.
Jenkins, B.M. (1985). *Probability of nuclear terrorism*. RAND.
Kalinowski, R. (2020). *Civil Defense and Crisis Management in Poland from a Systemic Perspective*, 52, 3(197), pp. 513–525. Scientific Journal of Land Forces.
Kanu, A.B., Dwivedi, P., Tam, M., Matz, L., Hill, H.H., Jr. (2008). *Ion Mobility – Mass Spectrometry*, 43, pp. 1–22. Journal Of Mass Spectrometry. Mass Spectrum.

Knoll, G.F. (2010). *Radiation Detection and Measurement* (4th Edition), Wiley.
Koblentz, G.D. (2009). *Live Weapons: Biological Warfare and International Security*, 272. Cornell University Press.
Koblentz, G.D. (2010). *Reconsidering Biosafety: Calibrating Biohazards and Responses*, 34(4). International Security.
Kristensen, H.M., Norris, R.S. (2013). *Global Stockpiles of Nuclear Weapons, 1945–2010*, 69(5), 75–81. Bulletin of Atomic Scientists. Published Online: https://files.givewell.org/files/labs/nuclear-security/Bulletin%20of%20the%20Atomic%20Scientists-2013-Kristensen-75-81.pdf. Access 22.05.2024.
Leitenberg, M. (2001). Bioweapons in the Twentieth Century: Review and Analysis, 27(4), pp. 267–320. Crit Rev Microbiol.
Lyons, C., Colton, D. (2012). *Airborne Measuring System in Japan*, 102(5), pp. 509–515. Health Physics.
Mauroni, A.J. (2003). *Chemical and Biological Weapons: A Reference Handbook*. ABC-CLIO.
Mauroni, A.J. (2006). *Chemical and Biological Weapons: Reference Manual* (2nd Edition), 23–48. Holtzbrinck.
Mihalczo, J., Mullens, J. (2012). *Nuclear Materials Identification System with Gamma Ray Imaging and Spectrometry for Plutonium, Highly Enriched Uranium, Explosives and Other Materials*, Managed by UT-Battelle for the Department of Energy US, Oak Ridge National Laboratory.
Szklarski, Ł. (2024). *CBRN Threats – Advancing National Security Through Interdisciplinary Innovations: An Analytical Framework For Radiological And Nuclear Hazard Detection Technologies*, pp. 201-222. Zeszyty Naukowe SGSP 2(88)
National Research Council. (1997). Politics and Global Affairs. The Committee on International Security and Arms Control. Office of International Affairs Proliferation Concerns Assessment of U.S. efforts to contain nuclear and other hazardous materials and technologies in the former Soviet Union. Press of the National Academy.
Parachini, J. (2003). *Putting WMD Terrorism in Perspective*, 26(4), 37–50. Washington Quarterly.
Perkins, J.L., Stull, J.O. (1989). *Performance of Chemical Protective Clothing in Chemical Emergencies*. ASTM International. Published Online: https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Chemical-protective-clothing-performance-in-Perkins-Stull/6e0033de7dd92c223097cbc8d2c809b7a5039691. Access 22.05.2024.
Perl, R. (2003). *Terrorism, the Future, and US Foreign Policy*. Congressional Research Service.
Peto, J., Alwan, N.A., Godfrey, K.M., Burgess, R.A., Hunter, D.J., Riboli, E., Romer, P. (2020). *Universal Weekly Testing as a Strategy to Emerge from COVID-19 Lockdown in the UK*, 395(10234), pp. 1420–1421. Lancet.

Phelan, A.L., Katz, R., Gostin, L.O. (2020). *The Novel Coronavirus Originating in Wuhan, China. Challenges for Global Health Governance*. JAMA Network. Published Online: January 30, 2020. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.1097. Access 22.05.2024.

Policy Department, Directorate-General for External Policies. (2021). EU Chemical, Biological, Radiological and Nuclear (CBRN) Preparedness and Response EP/EXPO/SEDE/FWC/2019-01/Lot4/R/04.

Radiation Studies Branch Division of Environmental Hazards and Health Effects National Center for Environmental Health Centers for Disease Control and Prevention U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2014). Population Monitoring in Radiation Emergencies: A Guide for State and Local Public Health Planners Radiation Research Division of Environmental Hazards and Health Effects National Center for Environmental Health.

Regulation of the Minister of the Interior and Administration of 3 July 2017 on the detailed organization of the national rescue and firefighting system (Journal of Laws 2017, item 1319).

Rehak, D., Ales, B., Dvorak, Z., Hromada, M. (2020). *Security and Protection in GI Global's Technical Infrastructure*. IGI Global.

Rietiker, D. (2014). *The Relevance of Article VI of the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons: An Analysis According to the Rules of Interpretation of the Treaty Nuclear Non-Proliferation in International Law*. Volume I, pp. 47–84.

Robinson, J.P.P. (1996). *Implementation of the Chemical Weapons Convention*, 72(1), pp. 73–90. International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944–).

Samuel Colins Decontamination of Mass Victims of Chemical Accidents. (2021). Research Findings and Future Priorities Int. J. Environment. Rez. Public Health.

Schindler, D., Toman, J. (2004). *Convention on the Prohibition of the Development, Production and Stockpiling of Bacteriological (Biological) and Toxin Weapons and on their Destruction*, Brill.

Steinhauser, G., Brandl, A., Johnson, T.E. (2014). *Comparison of the Chernobyl and Fukushima Nuclear Accidents: an Overview of the* Environmental Impact. The Science of the Whole Environment, 470–471, February 1, 2014. Science of The Total Environment.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2016). 2016. Emergency Response Guide. Lulu.com.

Vogel, K.M. (2012). Spectral Menace or Impending Danger? New Bioweapons Threat Assessment Framework. Johns Hopkins University Press.

Wang, F., Gao, Ch. (2014). Protective Clothing: Managing Thermal Stress. Elsevier.

Wiśniewska, K., Lewandowska, A. (2019). Air Quality at Two Stations (Gdynia and Rumia) Located in the Gdańsk Bay Area During Periods of Intense Smog in Poland, 12, pp. 879–890. Air Quality, Atmosphere and Health.

Wojtasik, K., Horák, V. (2019). Do-it-Yourself Terrorism. How do Terrorist Organizations Inspire, Support, and Educate their European Supporters via the Internet? 7th International Conference Security System: Man – Technical Object – Environment, CzOTO 2018. Zakopane, Poland – December 12–14, 2018.

World Health Organization. (2004). Public Health Response to Biological and Chemical Weapons: WHO Guidelines. World Health Organization.

Yang, S., Rothman, R.E. (2004). PCR-based Diagnosis in Infectious Diseases: Applications, Limitations, and Future Applications in the Acute Care Setting. Lancet Infectious Diseases.

Yeh, K.B., Wood, H., Scullion, M., Russell, J.A., Parker, K., Gnade, B.T., Jones, A.R., Whittier, Ch., Mereish, K. (2019). Molecular Detection of Biological Agents in the Field: Then and Now, 4(6). ASM Journals Sphere.

Yuris, S. (2018). Advances in Sensors, 5(16). Reviews. Lulu.com.

Zhenqiang, Xu, Yan, Wu, Fangxia, Shen, Qi, Chen (2011). Bioaerosol Science, Technology, and Engineering: Past, Present, and Future, 45, pp. 1337–1349. Aerosol Science and Technology.